

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginta 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/624,439	07/24/2000	Jonathan Ellenberg	072271.0118	5413
5073 BAKER BOT	7590 08/14/200 TS I I P	EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS A		FELTEN, DANIEL S		
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX	75201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
511135110, 111	75201 2500		3696	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/624,439	ELLENBERG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DANIEL S. FELTEN	3696		

•	Examiner	Air Oille							
	DANIEL S. FELTEN	3696							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request						
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period caused under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office are may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as						
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since						
AMENDMENTS	itiliii tile tillie period set fortil ili 37	CFR 41.37(a).							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for									
appeal; and/or									
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116	Od. Con attached Nation of Nan Co.		DTOL 224)						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpiiant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	at canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s).	owable ii submitted iii a separate, i	aniely filed afficilation	it cariceling the						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		l be entered and an e	xplanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
/D :105 h /									
/Daniel S Felten/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3696									
	Primary Examiner, Art U	HII 2090							

Continuation of 13. Other: setting the minimum open bid price in rewards points based on the determined minimum opening bid price is a feature that would require further search. Also upon further consideration of the applicants arguments regarding regarding the fact that the applicants do not admit omitting at least essential structural elements, it is respectfully submitted that the central controller that the aplicant now claims falls into the category of an essential structural element. Thus .